## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
v. Tynan Anthony Checchi	Case No.1:20-mj-00524-SJB	
Defendant		
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reformation that the defendant be detained pending trial.	orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
Part I – Fin	dings of Fact	
	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of at would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	56(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
an offense for which the maximum sentence is of	death or life imprisonment.	
an offense for which a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is prescribed in: .*	
a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	n convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.	
any felony that is not a crime of violence but involutions.	olves:	
the possession or use of a firearm of a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon § 2250	
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed whor local offense.	nile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presum person or the community. I further find that defendant	ption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another has not rebutted that presumption.	
•	Findings (A)	
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	has committed an offense	
for which a maximum prison term of ten years of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et se under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
	olished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions d the safety of the community.	
Alternative (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe	e Findings (B) ear.	
√ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange	r the safety of another person or the community.	
Part II – Statement of the	he Reasons for Detention	
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	e detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing	
<ol> <li>Defendant has a history of arrests and convictions.</li> <li>Defendant has a history of substance abuse.</li> <li>Defendant has a history of mental health issues.</li> <li>Defendant has a history of violent behavior.</li> <li>Defendant has a history of probation violations.</li> <li>Defendant has a history of criminal activity while under Supe</li> </ol> Part III – Directions	rvision. • <b>Regarding Detention</b>	

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	December 15, 2020	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Sally J. Berens
		Name and Title:	Sally J. Berens, U.S. Magistrate Judge